## **Treaties and Aboriginal Government**

Audit of AANDC Support to the Specific Claims Process

APPROVAL DATE: 11/22/2012							
ı	PROJECT RECOMMENDATIONS	ACTION PLAN	EXPECTED COMPLETION DATE	PROGRAM RESPONSE			
1.	The Senior Assistant Deputy Minister of the Treaties and Aboriginal Government Sector should ensure that an appropriate Oversight Committee, with a defined governance framework and Terms of Reference (ToR) is implemented, and ensure that the Terms of Reference of the Claims Advisory Committee (CAC) is reviewed and updated. Specifically, CAC membership within the ToR should be reviewed to include a representative from the Litigation Management and Resolution Branch.	The Claims Advisory Committee (CAC) membership already includes representatives from the Litigation Management and Resolution Branch (LMRB) at the Director level. The CAC Terms of Reference are currently being revised and updated. The DG, LMRB, the Chief Financial Officer, and the Director General, Lands and Environmental Management will be invited to become members of the CAC.  The Senior ADM, TAG will examine using the Joint Steering Committee on Litigation Issues for quarterly, strategic oversight to the specific claims process. In the past, this committee has played a role in discussing issues impacting the Specific Claims Process and the Specific Claims Tribunal.	March 2013	Revised Terms of Reference for the Claims Advisory Committee have been developed and a final version is being prepared for distribution. The Chief Financial Officer confirmed her membership on the Claims Advisory Committee.  As of 30/06/2014  Revised expected completion date: December 2014  AES: Implementation behind plan. Recommend approval of Program's revised completion date December 2014.			
2.	The Senior Assistant Deputy Minister of the Treaties and Aboriginal Government Sector should ensure that a comprehensive risk management framework related to specific	A Specific Claims risk assessment framework will be undertaken and integrated into the corporate risk assessment framework. The Specific Claims risk assessment framework will address elements unique to the Specific Claims	September 30 <sup>th</sup> , 2013	Status: Completed  Update/Rationale: As of 31/03/2014:  A comprehensive risk management framework was approved by the Evaluation, Performance			

## **Treaties and Aboriginal Government**

Audit of AANDC Support to the Specific Claims Process

PROJECT RECOMMENDATIONS	ACTION PLAN	EXPECTED COMPLETION DATE	PROGRAM RESPONSE
claims (including strategic and operational level risks) and a risk management process, are established to appropriately identify, assess, mitigate and monitor the identified risks related to specific claims on a regular basis.	Process including: the impact of the Specific Claims Tribunal, funding pressures, and human resource pressures. As well, the risk assessment would take into account the positive impact that settling a claim has on other departmental activities including, but not limited to, self-government and treaty negotiations.		Measurement and Review Committee on March 20, 2014 and has been incorporated into the Performance Measurement Strategy.  AES: Implementation Complete. Recommend to be closed. Closed.
3. The Senior Assistant Deputy Minister of the Treaties and Aboriginal Government Sector should review current systems and assess system requirements/functionality against current available systems and evaluate the cost vs. benefit of centralizing the claim management systems and databases.	The Specific Claims Branch Database (SCBDB) is a national on-line system which has been designed to track the progress of specific claims from receipt to settlement and produces various preestablished reports. The SCBDB is primarily focused on reporting, but is also a critical tool used for the calculation of the contingent liability (CL) of claims.  However, there are a number of other management systems and databases that continue to fulfill different functions in the management of the Specific Claims Process.  The S/ADM, TAG will undertake to review and assess all of these tools and examine opportunities to centralize the information systems in a cost and	March 2013	Update / Rationale: As of 31/03/2013:  A review of the information systems has been done. The Negotiations Directorate is no longer using its project management tool. Negotiations Managers use the Specific Claim Settlement Fund Budget Tracker Tool and their own lists to forecast, monitor and track the progress of claims in negotiation, and they also rely on the Specific Claims Database for other basic information related to their claims. The Research and Policy Directorate, on the other hand, continues to use its own work management tracking tool extensively to monitor work demands. This is necessary as the SCBDB does not have the fields, or functionality for work management. While it may be possible to accommodate some functionality in the SCBDB to

## **Treaties and Aboriginal Government**

Audit of AANDC Support to the Specific Claims Process

e effective manner, while ing the required differences in and function.  Tof the information management in Specific Claims Branch underway. Preliminary findings	March 2014	meet Research and Policy needs, it would be difficult, costly, time consuming, resource intensive, and also inefficient given that the MS project based tool is currently meeting work tracking/management needs, including reporting.  AES: Recommend to close, as IM/IT system review was conducted. Closed.  Status: Ongoing
s in Specific Claims Branch underway. Preliminary findings	March 2014	Status: Ongoing
ng Systems in use by both SCB d Vancouver do not meet Management standards for the government.  working with Enterprise ion Record Management (EIRM) as these issues. As a result a of measures are being		Draft Business Rules have been prepared. A new file numbering system for Specific Claims has been developed that reconciles divergent filing systems in the NCR and Vancouver offices, however, work is continuing with EIRM to resolve inconsistencies between NCR and Vancouver filing practices. Staff have received training related to CIDM electronic filing practices and will receive training in respect to anticipated standardized government-wide software.  As of 30/06/2014  Revised expected completion date: December 2014
	ned that the current File ing Systems in use by both SCB d Vancouver do not meet Management standards for the government.  working with Enterprise ion Record Management (EIRM) ss these issues. As a result a of measures are being ented:	ned that the current File ing Systems in use by both SCB d Vancouver do not meet Management standards for the government.  vorking with Enterprise ion Record Management (EIRM) ss these issues. As a result a of measures are being

## **Treaties and Aboriginal Government**

Audit of AANDC Support to the Specific Claims Process

PROJECT RECOMMENDATIONS	ACTION PLAN	EXPECTED COMPLETION DATE	PROGRAM RESPONSE
	provide a safe, secure structure for the creation, maintenance, retrieval and disposition of information. The business rules include the use of naming conventions, application of consistent access control and address document security issues.  Implementation of a New File Numbering System in both NCR and Vancouver.		AES: Recommendation will be closed once draft business rules have been finalized and approved.
	Substantial changes in the way CIDM is integrated in the work flow via: emails, file numbering systems documents and saving will be implemented. Training for staff will be required.		